

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,104		02/14/2005	Kira Salonius	VA/H-32622A	7063
1095	7590	08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
NOVART			ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE		
		TELLECTUAL PRO .AZA 104/3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
01		, NJ 07936-1080	3644		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/521,104	SALONIUS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Yvonne R. Abbott	3644	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period fo			0) 00 = 1110=14(00) = 414	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2005.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i	is
-	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 22-32 is/are pending in the application	1.	·	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 22-32 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachmen				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	atent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/521,104

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 22-26, drawn to an immunogenic composition comprising live *Arthrobacter* spp. and an immunogen.

Group II, claim(s) 27 and 28, drawn to a method of treating particular (diseased) fish comprising administering to particular fish a composition comprising live *Arthrobacter* spp. and an immunogen.

Group III, claim(s) 29 and 30, drawn to a method of preventing a disease comprising administering to fish a composition comprising live *Arthrobacter* spp. and an immunogen.

Group IV, claim(s) 31 and 32, drawn to a kit comprising two distinct vaccine including a first vaccine comprising live *Arthrobacter* cells, and a second vaccine comprising an immunogen.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The groups listed above are not within the permitted combination of different categories of inventions of one apparatus and one process.

The special technical feature of Group I is the immunogenic composition.

Art Unit: 3644

The special technical feature of Groups II and III are the specific steps used in each method.

The special technical feature of Group IV is the kit comprising two distinctive vaccines.

Therefore, there is no special technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-IV.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may**

Application/Control Number: 10/521,104

Art Unit: 3644

result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/521,104 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (571) 272-6896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (571) 272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yvonne R. Abbott Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644